



# AUeReqDI R1 for Draft for community comment



The AU eRequesting Data for Interoperability (AUeReqDI) is available at <https://sparked.csiro.au/index.php/sparked-products-resources/auereqdi/auereqdi-release-1/>

Sparked is seeking feedback on the following areas

1. Suggestions for improvement in the data groups or elements in AUeReqDI R1 Draft for Community Comment, including Data groups and data element definitions and examples of code sets used by vendors and implementers to communicate data element scope
  1. is the clinical content is correct e.g. data element names and descriptions,
  2. is the metadata is appropriate e.g. use and misuse
  3. is there anything missing or inappropriate?
  4. are there issues with the use of terminology (code system and value set) of the data elements
2. Suggestions for additional data elements that are not yet in the backlog and why?
3. Are there significant barriers to development, implementation, or use for any of these data elements in AUeReqDI R1 Draft for Community Comment that warrant a change in definition, or removal?
4. Suggestions around additional use cases and data groups/elements to support those use cases to go on our roadmap
5. General feedback on the document including
  - o additional references
  - o additional information to provide greater clarity or context around the AUeReqDI and its use
  - o additional national standards and initiatives we should be considering

## Feedback guidelines

- For changes and new content requests to the AUeReqDI content, please provide clear justification, use cases and references - providing more detail will assist the Sparked team in understanding the nature and context of your requirements. The justification will also aid in assessing the risks and benefits that are associated with making the change.
  - o If there are similar data elements available already, please provide justification why a new suggested data element is required and the use cases that it supports
- For each data group, please provide an overall recommendation
  - o If your answer is "Major revision" or "Reject", please make sure you let us know why in the feedback sections

Sparked welcomes information that identifies the healthcare community's preferences and priorities for informing further investigation.

Target audience of review: Reviews are accepted from all interested stakeholders. Digital health or clinical expertise is not required to provide comments - we encourage contributions from all unique points of view as the collaborative review process is intended to be inclusive of all points of view and not requiring specific skill sets or professional background.

AUeReqDI R1 Draft for Community Comment is open for feedback until 14 June

\* Required

## Reviewer Details

1

Is this submission \*

Group submission

Individual submission

2

Which organisation are you submitting on behalf of?

3

Full name \*

4

Email \*

5

Organisation

6

Role title

7

Which of the following stakeholder categories best describes you/your organisation \*

- Health or care provider
- Software vendor
- Government
- Clinical peak body
- Industry peak body
- University/Academic
- Consultancy
- Consumer advocacy
- Other

8

I acknowledge that submitting feedback through this form indicates that I have read and understand the information sheet linked here <https://sparked.csiro.au/index.php/contact-us/participant-information/>, that I may withdraw from participation at any time, and that my contributions may be recorded on the publicly available website and used to inform program outcomes. If you don't agree then please close now and no information will be recorded. \*

- Yes

### Feedback form

Please provide your feedback in the form below. You can save and edit your responses before the end of the feedback cycle.

Thank you for your time.

9

Do you have any general feedback? Specific feedback for data groups and elements can be provided in later questions. \*

This include

- additional references
- additional information to provide greater clarity or context around the AUeReqDI and its use
- additional national standards and initiatives we should be considering

Yes

No


10

Please provide your general feedback

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

11

Do you have feedback for "Service Request" data group \*



Yes

No

12

Data element: Service name

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

13

Data element: Clinical indication

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

14

Data element: Clinical context

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

15

Data element: Urgency

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

16

Data element: Service due

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

17

Data element: Comment

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

18

Data element: Distribution list

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

19

Data element: Urgent contact

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

20

Data element: Billing guidance

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

21

General feedback for "Service Request"

Please include comments around

- Completeness and/or any missing elements
- General design issues of this data group
- Overall comments

22


Overall recommendation \*

- Accept - if you have no suggestions for further improvement and consider the data group ready for publication without further review or if the suggested changes are trivial (e.g. spelling)
- Minor revision - if you consider that there are only small changes required to make the data group ready for publication
- Major revision - if you consider the data group needs large or significant modifications such as addition/removal of data elements
- Reject - if you consider the data group is not suitable for publication - for example that it is "unfit for purpose" or fundamentally flawed.
- Abstain - if you feel you need to deliberately refrain from participating in the recommendation process - we encourage you to contribute from your unique point of view as the collaborative review process is intended to be inclusive of all points of view and not requiring specific skill sets or professional background

23

Do you have feedback for the data elements specific to the "Medical Imaging Request" data group?  
Test name, Modality, Target body site, Contrast use \*

If you have feedback on the common, repeated data elements from "Service Request", please use the "Service request" sections to provide feedback



- Yes
- No

24

Data element: Test name

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

25

Data element: Modality

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

26

Data element: Target body site

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

27

Data element: Contrast use

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

28

General feedback for "Medical imaging request"

Please include comments around

- Completeness and/or any missing elements
- General design issues of this data group
- Overall comments

29

Overall recommendation \*


- Accept - if you have no suggestions for further improvement and consider the data group ready for publication without further review or if the suggested changes are trivial (e.g. spelling)
- Minor revision - if you consider that there are only small changes required to make the data group ready for publication
- Major revision - if you consider the data group needs large or significant modifications such as addition/removal of data elements
- Reject - if you consider the data group is not suitable for publication - for example that it is "unfit for purpose" or fundamentally flawed.
- Abstain - if you feel you need to deliberately refrain from participating in the recommendation process - we encourage you to contribute from your unique point of view as the collaborative review process is intended to be inclusive of all points of view and not requiring specific skill sets or professional background



30

Do you have feedback for the data elements specific to the "Pathology test request" data group  
Test name, Fasting status \*

If you have feedback on the common, repeated data elements from "Service Request", please use the "Service request" sections to provide feedback



Yes

No

31

Data element: Test name

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

32

Data element: Fasting status

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

33

General feedback for "Pathology test request"

Please include comments around

- Completeness and/or any missing elements
- General design issues of this data group
- Overall comments


34

Overall recommendation \*

- Accept - if you have no suggestions for further improvement and consider the data group ready for publication without further review or if the suggested changes are trivial (e.g. spelling)
- Minor revision - if you consider that there are only small changes required to make the data group ready for publication
- Major revision - if you consider the data group needs large or significant modifications such as addition/removal of data elements
- Reject - if you consider the data group is not suitable for publication - for example that it is "unfit for purpose" or fundamentally flawed.
- Abstain - if you feel you need to deliberately refrain from participating in the recommendation process - we encourage you to contribute from your unique point of view as the collaborative review process is intended to be inclusive of all points of view and not requiring specific skill sets or professional background

35

Do you have general feedback for the "Implanted medical device summary" data group? \*



- Yes
- No

36

Data element: Device type name

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

37

Data element: Overall status

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

38

Data element: Overall comment

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

39

Data element: Last updated

Please only provide response if there is an issue, question or comment that needs to be considered or addressed

40

General feedback for "Implanted medical device summary"

Please include comments around

- Completeness and/or any missing elements
- General design issues of this data group
- Overall comments

41

Overall recommendation \*

- Accept - if you have no suggestions for further improvement and consider the data group ready for publication without further review or if the suggested changes are trivial (e.g. spelling)
- Minor revision - if you consider that there are only small changes required to make the data group ready for publication
- Major revision - if you consider the data group needs large or significant modifications such as addition/removal of data elements
- Reject - if you consider the data group is not suitable for publication - for example that it is "unfit for purpose" or fundamentally flawed.
- Abstain - if you feel you need to deliberately refrain from participating in the recommendation process - we encourage you to contribute from your unique point of view as the collaborative review process is intended to be inclusive of all points of view and not requiring specific skill sets or professional background

42

Do you have any general comments around the inclusion of Adverse reaction risk summary, Problem/Diagnosis summary and Sex and gender? \*

Adverse reaction risk summary, Problem/Diagnosis summary and Sex and gender have been included in the AUCDI R1, which was released for comment in February 2024 and is due to be published in June 2024

- Yes
- No

43

Please provide your feedback for Adverse reaction risk summary, Problem/Diagnosis summary and Sex and gender which have been included from AUCDI R1

44

Any other feedback?

Thank you for your feedback

---

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Microsoft. The data you submit will be sent to the form owner.

 Microsoft Forms